1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
2	AT CHARLESTON
3	x
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CRIMINAL ACTION
5	Plaintiff, NO. 2:23-cr-00176-01
6	-vs-
7	TIMOTHY BRIAN JACKSON,
8	Defendant. :
9	X **REDACTED TRANSCRIPT**
10	STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN T. COPENHAVER, JR.,
11	SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  JUNE 5, 2024
12	
13	APPEARANCES: FOR THE PLAINTIFF: AUSA JEREMY B. WOLFE
14	Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office P.O. Box 1713
15	Charleston, WV 25326-1713
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: RICO R. MOORE
18	441 Rockaway Road Charleston, WV 25302
19	
20	
21	
22	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer.
23	
24	CATHERINE SCHUTTE-STANT, RDR, CRR,
25	Federal Official Court Reporter 300 Virginia Street, East, Room 6009 Charleston, WV 25301

## USA v JACKSON

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 1:43 p.m.
2	THE CLERK: All rise.
3	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
4	THE CLERK: The case before the Court is the
5	United States of America versus Timothy Brian Jackson,
6	Criminal Action Number 2:23-cr-00176.
7	Would counsel note their appearances for the record,
8	please.
9	MR. WOLFE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jeremy
10	Wolfe on behalf of the United States.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. MOORE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rico
13	Moore on behalf of Mr. Jackson, who is here in person, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	The Court notes that this matter was set for a status
17	conference today, and it looks to me as though it's
18	unfortunate, Mr. Moore, that you did not inform a great
19	number of individuals who are here, doubtless, in support of
20	the defendant.
21	MR. MOORE: I did my best, Your Honor. However,
22	this is probably half of the number that would have probably
23	shown up if we could have if I could have stopped.
24	THE COURT: Secondly, I would note that many of
25	the reasons for the status conference have been resolved,

based on the United States filing on yesterday of the Notice of the United States' intent to not pursue forfeiture. That is because the administrative forfeiture has already taken place of quite a number of cash assets and weapons. They are all listed in that notice. One that is missing is the Smith & Wesson handgun that was recovered at the St. Albans address.

Why is that omitted?

MR. WOLFE: Your Honor, I will confer with the forfeiture AUSA in our office, but my understanding is that one of pistols recovered -- and it must have been that one -- was entered into the law enforcement database as having been stolen. Officers were attempting to confirm that and to find a rightful owner. And I don't believe they've been able to do that. And so part of that confusion has led to, I believe, the fact that the forfeiture has not been finalized on that pistol.

THE COURT: As I understand it, it is to be forfeited insofar as the defendant would have any interest in it; although, it may be that, instead of the government recovering it, it would be the owner?

MR. WOLFE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And can you look into that, and let the Court know at sentencing?

MR. WOLFE: Yes, Your Honor. And if a filing is

1 necessary, certainly we will do that prior to the new 2 sentencing date. 3 THE COURT: Very good. The Court notes, as well, that the Probation Officer 4 5 who prepared this report is no longer with the Probation 6 Office. The Court would note that in paragraph 30, the firearms listed are the three that were recovered at 7 8 in South Charleston. There are two others that 9 were recovered at St. Albans that are not included. 10 And so I'm going to ask the Probation Officer who is 11 now with us with respect to this case to look into that 12 matter and see whether or not those two firearms should be 13 added to paragraph 60. 14 The Court would ask you that if they are added, see if 15 you can keep them all on that same page so that there do not 16 have to be any other revisions of any other pages as a 17 result. 18 PROBATION OFFICER: I'll do my best, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So that's going to be a very long 20 page, because it's already full, but it needs to be squeezed 21 in. 22 PROBATION OFFICER: If it's possible. I'll do my 23 best, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 Aside from that, I would note that the government filed its response to the objections that were set forth in the defendant's Memorandum.

And I note, Mr. Moore, those objections, as the government says, are untimely. They ought to have been presented at the time the Probation Office's report was prepared. And under the Rule, they ought to be considered for denial. But the Court is going to permit the late filing, nevertheless. And the government has responded.

The government's response relates, in particular, to safety valve and to coercion. And the defendant can respond to that filing by the government within a period of -- I'm going to fix it at two weeks. The sentencing itself is to be continued on the joint motion of the parties to continue the sentencing in order to allow the defendant the opportunity to meet with the government for the purposes set forth in the motion that both of you have filed and joined in.

The request is for 30 days. I would ask the parties whether or not setting this on the 26th, 27th, or 28th would suffice? That is, the 26th, 27th, 28th of June? That is not the full 30 days you requested, but it's reasonably close.

MR. WOLFE: Your Honor, I would be available on any of those dates.

MR. MOORE: As would I, Your Honor.

## USA v JACKSON

```
1
                 THE COURT: What's that? What's your answer, Mr.
2
       Moore?
                 MR. MOORE: I would be available on any of those
 3
 4
       dates, as well.
 5
                 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you whether or not
 6
       you believe that you could be ready on the 26th?
 7
                 MR. MOORE: I do, Your Honor.
 8
                 MR. WOLFE: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
                 THE COURT: At 1:30?
10
                 MR. WOLFE: Yes, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Is that satisfactory, Mr. Moore?
12
                 MR. MOORE: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: And so, based on the joint motion by
14
       both parties to continue sentencing, the Court grants that
15
       motion, and sentence will proceed at 1:30 p.m. on June 26th.
16
            Do the parties have anything further at this time?
17
                 MR. WOLFE: No, Your Honor.
18
                 MR. MOORE: Nothing, Your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: And it will be understood that any
20
       response that the defendant is filing will be received
21
       within two weeks from today, which would be the 19th --
22
       that's a holiday, so we'll back that up to the 18th, Mr.
23
       Moore, and that will be a week ahead of the hearing.
24
            Again, do the parties have anything further?
25
                 MR. WOLFE: No, Your Honor.
```

## USA v JACKSON

```
1
                 MR. MOORE: Not from the defense, Your Honor.
 2
                 THE COURT: Nothing further, Mr. Moore?
 3
                 MR. MOORE: Nothing.
 4
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
            We'll be in recess accordingly. Thank you.
 5
 6
                 THE CLERK: All rise.
 7
            (Proceedings concluded at 1:52 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER 2 I, Catherine Schutte-Stant, Federal Official Realtime 3 Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court 4 for the Southern District of West Virginia, do hereby 5 certify that, pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United 6 States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct transcript 7 of the stenographically reported proceedings held in the 8 above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is 9 in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial 10 Conference of the United States. 11 s/Catherine Schutte-Stant, RDR, CRR 12 November 25, 2024 13 Catherine Schutte-Stant, RDR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter 14 REDACTION CERTIFICATE 15 16 I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of 17 the transcript originally filed with the Clerk of Court on 18 November 27, 2024, and incorporating redactions of personal 19 identifiers requested by the following attorney of record: 20 Jonathan D. Byrne, in accordance with Judicial Conference 21 policy. Redacted characters/pages appear as a black box in 22 the transcript. Date: January 23, 2025. 23 /s/ CATHERINE SCHUTTE-STANT, RDR, CRR 24 CATHERINE SCHUTTE-STANT, RDR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 25